# Activity Area #1: Scientific Priority Setting

**Reviewer:**
**Overall Evaluation:**

☐ *Highest Performance*--The Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.

☐ *Exceeds Expectations*--The Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.

☐ *Satisfactory*--The Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.

☐ *Needs Improvement*--The Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.  The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed.

**QUALITY**  ☐ Highest Performance     ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory          ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**RELEVANCE**  ☐ Highest Performance     ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory          ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**PERFORMANCE**  ☐ Highest Performance     ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory          ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**Recommendations for Activity Area #1: Scientific Priority Setting**
Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings

# Activity Area #2: Research to Understand Vulnerability

**Reviewer:**
**Overall Evaluation:**

☐ *Highest Performance*--The Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.

☐ *Exceeds Expectations*--The Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.

☐ *Satisfactory*--The Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.

☐ *Needs Improvement*--The Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.  The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed.

**QUALITY** ☐ Highest Performance ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**RELEVANCE** ☐ Highest Performance ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**PERFORMANCE** ☐ Highest Performance ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**Recommendations for Activity Area #2: Research to Understand Vulnerability**
Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings

## Activity Area #3: Data Management and Product Development

**Reviewer:**
**Overall Evaluation:**
☐ *Highest Performance*--The Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.
☐ *Exceeds Expectations*--The Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.
☐ *Satisfactory*--The Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.
☐ *Needs Improvement*--The Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.  The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed.

**QUALITY** ☐ Highest Performance ☐ Exceeds Expectations ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**RELEVANCE** ☐ Highest Performance ☐ Exceeds Expectations ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**PERFORMANCE** ☐ Highest Performance ☐ Exceeds Expectations ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**Recommendations for Activity Area #3: Data Management and Product Development**
Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings

## Activity Area #4: Education and Communication

**Reviewer:**
**Overall Evaluation:**
☐ *Highest Performance*--The Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.
☐ *Exceeds Expectations*--The Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.
☐ *Satisfactory*--The Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.
☐ *Needs Improvement*--The Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating.  The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed.

**QUALITY** ☐ Highest Performance  ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**RELEVANCE** ☐ Highest Performance  ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**PERFORMANCE** ☐ Highest Performance  ☐ Exceeds Expectations
☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Needs Improvement

**Comments and observations/findings:**

**Recommendations for Activity Area #4: Education and Communication**
Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings

**Reviewer Feedback Worksheet – Additional Comments and Feedback on the Review Process**

| |
|---|
| **Reviewer:** |
| **Additional comments for OAR and The Program management:** |
| |
| **Additional comments and suggestions on conduct of the review for use in future program reviews**<br>Please help OAR improve our program review process by telling us what worked well and did not work well throughout the process. What information provided was especially useful or not useful in your evaluations? What additional information would have helped you in your evaluation? What information could have been omitted without impacting the quality of your review? |
| |